

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/672,605	DONOVAN, LOUISE D.	
	Examiner Lee Y Quach	Art Unit 2875	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lee Y Quach. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Richard B. Lazarus. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1, 26 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cook, Smythe, Yuen and Park et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative proposed amending claims 1, 26 and 28 by changing a wand to a portable wand, first light source to first visible light source, second light source to second black light source while deleting the term "capable of emitting ..." and claim 3. Note the attached copy of proposed amendment. It would appear that the proposed amended claims (a portable wand including the combination of a first visible light source and a second black light source ...) patentably define over the prior art discussed. Upon submission of a proper amendment, this application will be reconsidered in light of the comments thereto and subject to an update and/or further search.